

WULCA

A LIFE CYCLE INITIATIVE PROJECT

Anne-Marie Boulay, Jane Bare, Lorenzo Benini, Markus Berger, Cecile Bulle, Inga Klemmayer, Michael Lathuilliere, Alessandro Manzardo, Manuele Margni, Masaharu Motoshita, Montserrat Núñez, Taikan Oki, Bradley Ridoutt, Sebastien Worbe, Stephan Pfister

Consensus building results on the new scarcity indicator from WULCA

Barcelona, May 4th, 2015

Outline

- WULCA and consensus building within the Life Cycle Initiative
- First steps – setting the scene
- Three (3) proposals and analysis
- One recommendation

Timeline and progress of WULCA work

Framework on how to assess water use in LCA (Bayart et al, 2010)

Development of several new methods

Quantitative comparison of existing methods (Boulay et al, 2015a,b)

2008 **2012** **2013**

Development of a consensual method (2015)

Qualitative comparison of existing methods (Kounina et al, 2013)




Inventory from compensation processes
Compensation processes
Volume of water to be obtained through compensation
Human health specific midpoint
Impact on human health
Water Inventory (Surface water, renewable groundwater, fossil groundwater)
Stress-based generic midpoint
Ecosystem specific midpoint
Impact on Ecosystems
Resource specific midpoint
Impact on Resources

Generic stress-based midpoint

- No true common midpoint for human health and ecosystems

- Consistent (proportional) results cannot be obtained between a midpoint indicator and the endpoint indicators
  → Regionalization affects both midpoint and endpoint models

- Desire to develop a stress-based midpoint indicator
  →not necessarily correlated to HH and EQ,
  →Provides a simple single indicator to support decision
  → In compliance with ISO 14046

Evolution of scarcity indicators in LCA

At the Expert workshops:
1- question to answer is confirmed
2- inclusion of ecosystem

The question the indicator aims to answer

WTA

"What is the *potential of depriving* another user of water (human *or* ecosystems) when consuming water in this area"

WTA: Wi
CTA: Con
DTA: Dem
AMD: Av

deve

2006

Three indicator options

1

$$DTA = \frac{\text{Demand}}{\text{Availability}}$$

Indicator is maximal for arid regions
Modelled between 0.001 and 1



1 DTA

$$DTA = \frac{Demand}{Availability}$$



2

DTAx(0.34)

$$DTAx = \frac{Demand}{Availability} \times \left[\frac{Area}{Availability}\right]^{x}$$



3

AMD – range 0.1 - 100

$$AMD = \frac{\text{Unused water (per area)}_{\text{world avg}}}{\text{Unused water (per area)}}$$

Unused water = Availability - Demand

AMD: Availability minus demand



3 AMD – range 0.1 - 1000

$$AMD = \frac{\text{Unused water (per area)}_{\text{world avg}}}{\text{Unused water (per area)}}$$

Unused water = Availability - Demand



DTA indicator is eliminated first

1

$$DTA = \frac{\text{Demand}}{\text{Availability}}$$

→Strong influence of arbitrary value choice for arid regions
→ 1 order of magnitude → low discriminatory power





Evaluation Criteria

Criteria	2 - DTAx	3- AMD
Stakeholders acceptance (in pa	Low (4/23)	Good (13/23)
Ro ca (A		
M	*(x=0.34)*	*(equation is discontinuous)*
Physical meaning	Two relatively physical quantities, combined empirically: result is an index with no physical meaning	Physical meaning (available water remaining), up to the point where demand = availability

Which one do we recommend?

New indicator for water scarcity footprint

Relative User Deprivation Potential = Water consumption (inventory) × 1 / Unused water remaining → CF

- **Unused water remaining = (Availability – Demand)**
- Demand includes human and aquatic ecosystems
- The value is normalized with the reference flow of the world weighted value
- Maximal value when Demand ≥ Availability

→ A value of 10 (denominator) means that there is 10 times more unused water available in this region than where the average water "consumption mix" in the world.

- **CF is the inverse of unused water remaining**

→ The more unused water available in an area, the lower the potential to deprive other users!

New indicator for water scarcity footprint Relative User deprivation potential from 0.1 to1000



Limits of both indicators

- Environmental water requirements implies a normative choice on the status of ecosystems to be maintained ("fair condition with respect to pristine conditions", which is taken as a proxy for current state)
- Normative choices in the modeling of the indicator: cut-off values for min and max
- Aquatic ecosystems only (not terrestrial ecosystems)

Regional / temporal resolution

- Indicators calculated at the **sub-basins scale**, available also at the **country scale**
- Indicators calculated at the **monthly scale**, available also at the **annual scale**

→ Aggregation made to represent agricultural use or industrial/domestic uses (one value for each, as well as a default value, aggregating both)

Example	Agricultural use	Non agricultural use	Default
Douero, June	…	…	…
Douero, Annual	…	…	…
Spain, June	…	…	…
Spain, Annual	…	…	…

Conclusion

- Preliminary recommendation for consensus-based indicator on water use impact assessment in one midpoint
- ~ 70 persons involved at some point of the process
- Describes the potential to deprive users (humans and **ecosystems**) based on **available water remaining** after demand has been met
- Allows to calculate a “water scarcity footprint” as per ISO 14046

Next steps

→ **Preliminary recommendation to be used and tested until next January (End of phase 1 of Flagship project)**
→ **Results to be made available online after SETAC**

To know more, join our annual meeting
Thursday 2-4:30 pm (open to all)
Escola Superior de Comerç Internacional (ESCI) Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Passeig Pujades, 1

Stay in touch: anne-marie.boulay@polymtl.ca

www.wulca-waterlca.org

SPONSORS


Cascades
GREEN BY NATURE


cotton
Cotton
Incorporated


DANONE


ExxonMobil


GDF SUEZ


Hydro
Québec


Unilever


VEOLIA


Mitacs

QUESTIONS?

Anne-Marie Boulay, PhD
CIRAIG – Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal
anne-marie.boulay@polymtl.ca

www.wulca-waterlca.org

Global Guidance on LCIA indicators
Chairs: Olivier Jolliet and Rolf Frischknecht

- Consensus on global warming indicator
- Consensus on other indicators

- Consensus on water use indicator

- Education and training
- Scientific support to other initiatives and events (e.g. ISO TR 14073)

WULCA
Chair: Anne-Marie Boulay
Co-chair: Stephan Pfister

- Guidance to practitioners and researchers

Collaboration with European Commission
ILCD/PEF Recommendations



New indicator for water scarcity footprint: Units





$$DTAx = \frac{Demand}{Availability} \times \left[\frac{Area}{Availability}\right]^{x}$$

2 DTAx(0.34)

Cutoff 10 and 90 % area

(choice to validate/justify/finalize)

Weighted average



2 orders of magnitude

Min value of 0.001



Max value of 1



1 DTA

$$DTA = \frac{Demand}{Availability}$$

Cutoff 10 and 90 % area
Weighted average



Min value of 0.001

1 order of magnitude



Max value of 1

2 DTAx(0.34) – normalized (world average)

$$DTAx = \frac{Demand}{Availability} \times \left[\frac{Area}{Availability}\right]^{x}$$

Weighted average

DTAx indicator = DTAx /DTAx $_{world}$



2 orders of magnitude



3 AMD – range 0.1 - 100

$$AMD = \frac{\text{Unused water (per area)}_{\text{world avg}}}{\text{Unused water (per area)}}$$

Unused water = Availability - Demand



3 AMD – range 0.1 - 1000

$$AMD = \frac{\text{Unused water (per area)}_{\text{world avg}}}{\text{Unused water (per area)}}$$

Unused water = Availability - Demand



4 orders of magnitude